



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,698	09/26/2001	Makoto Misaka	1232-4767	6446
7:	590 03/12/2003	•		
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
345 Park Avent New York, NY			NGUYEN, THONG Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
		DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4. (
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	,
Advisory Action	09/966,698	MISAKA, MAKOTO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thong Q. Nguyen	2872	4 - 10-00 · ·
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	n correspondence addres	ss
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	: (1) a timely filed amendment ; peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a ;	plication. A proper reply which places the application	tion in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount on ned statutory period for reply originally se	f the fee. The appropriate exten et in the final Office action; or (2)	sion fee under as set forth in
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 0</li> </ol>			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or sear	ch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	on in better form for appeal by i	materially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:	celing a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims	5.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	jection(s):		
4.⊠ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>11 (See N</u> filed amendment canceling the non-allowable of		if submitted in a separate	e, timely
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		onsidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLE	ELY to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed: 11.			
Claim(s) objected to: 5 and 7.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6 and 8-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a) □ approved or b) □ dis	sapproved by the Examir	ner.
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure States	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(	s)	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		Thong Q. Nguyen	
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2872	



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the device as claimed is not considered as patentable with respect to the cited art. Applicant is respectfully invited to review the art of record in which the art of the primary reference discloses a five-lens-group zoom lens system. The art of Yamazaki is used to show that the whole lens group or a part of a lens group can be used as a compensating lens group for the purpose of compensating lamge blurs.

Continuation of 10. Other: NOTE: Each of claims 5 and 7 would be allowable if each claim is written under an independent claim having all features of its base claim(s)...